

## Review of the paper

Editorial Board of "Plant Breeding and Seed Science" in an attempt to unify acceptance, revision and preparation of the paper, kindly request the Reviewers to consider the following general rules.

The review should include:

- General opinion for editorial purpose (checklist below)
- A detailed part, for authors (on the reverse or on additional sheet of paper). These notes should facilitate the authors an improvement and preparation of the manuscript or detailed explanation the lack of acceptance.

### Problem

- Well defined
- Should be improved
- Insufficient

### Methods

- Adequate
- Should be improved
- Inadequate

### Experiment

- Well planned and carried properly
- Should be improved
- Inadequate

### Results

- Reliable
- Description should be improved
- Non-reliable

### Statistical treatment

- Reliable
- Improvement needed
- Statistics erroneous
- Statistical treatment not necessary
- Missing but necessary

### Discussion

- Proper
- Should be improved
- Non acceptable
- Missing

### Conclusions

- Well documented
- Should be revised
- Erroneous or insufficiently supported

### Tables and figures

- Easy to understand
- Should be improved
- Non-acceptable

### References

- Adequate and all items necessary
- Lack of important items
- Not all items are discussed
- Non-acceptable

### Abstract

- Informative
- Should be improved
- Non-informative

### Key words

- Adequate
- Should be improved
- Non-acceptable or missing

### Language

- Satisfactory
- Should be improved
- General revision needed

### Innovation

- New
- Valuable confirmation
- Known results repeated

### Value of the article

- Very good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Insufficient

### General opinion

- Acceptable in the present form
- Should be improved
- Non- acceptable
- Out of scope of the Journal